LAW OFFICES OF

MAY 2 3 2006

McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, THADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817 TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376 E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD@ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†
DONALD J. LECHER
PETER F. MCGEE†

May 23, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Christy L. Novacek

TMEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

Group Art Unit: 2822

U.S.P.T.O.

Group Art Unit: 2022

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Enclosed Amendment After-Final Rejection Under 37 CFR §1.116

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/642,667

Docket No.: 03186-1/2002-239455

Dear Examiner Novacek:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on April 7, 2006, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours

James E. Howard

JEH/geb Enclosures

Re:

Total pages transmitted: 15

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 2 3 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Yoshihiro Satoh

Serial No.:

10/642,667

Group Art Unit:

2822

Filed:

August 19, 2003

Examiner:

Christy L. Novacek

For: METHOD FOR FABRICATING A SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE HAVING A

TAPERED-MESA SIDE-WALL FILM

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Box AF

Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 7, 2006, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-11, 13-15, and 18-29 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 11, 13-14, 18, and 23 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claims 12 and 16-17 are canceled. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are independent.